## **CLAIMS**

1	1. A method for evaluating a clinical trial protocol, comprising the steps of:
2	encoding workflow tasks of a clinical trial protocol into a database that includes data
3	objects describing protocol events and relationships among protocol events;
4	identifying an operational uncertainty in said protocol during said step of encoding;
5	associating said uncertainty with at least a particular one of said objects in said database
6	and
7	in dependence upon said objects in said database, displaying a graphical-visual
8	representation of said protocol, said graphical-visual representation including a human-
9	perceptible indication that said particular object has an operational uncertainty associated
.0	therewith.
1	2. A method according to claim 1, wherein said database is an object-oriented
2	database.
1	3. A method according to claim 1, wherein said data objects include protocol ever
2	objects describing protocol events, and temporal constraint objects describing temporal
3	constraints among said protocol event objects.
1	4. A method according to claim 3, wherein said step of displaying comprises the
2	step of displaying each of said data objects in a color which differs depending on whether an

operational uncertainty is associated therewith.

2

3

4

5

6

1

2

3

1

- 5. A method according to claim 1, wherein said step of displaying comprises the step of displaying each of said data objects in a color which differs depending on whether an operational uncertainty is associated therewith.
- 6. A method according to claim 1, wherein said operational uncertainty comprises an inconsistency in said protocol.
- 7. A method according to claim 1, wherein said operational uncertainty comprises an insufficiently specified parameter in said protocol.
  - 8. A method according to claim 1, wherein said operational uncertainty comprises an omitted parameter in said protocol.
  - 9. A method according to claim 1, wherein said operational uncertainty concerns a temporal constraint among at least two of said protocol events.
  - 10. At least one computer readable medium collectively carrying a machine readable database which includes protocol data objects describing events of a clinical trial protocol and relationships among protocol events of the clinical trial protocol, said database further including a disambiguation comment object which identifies an operational uncertainty in said protocol, said disambiguation comment object being associated with at least a particular one of said objects in said database.

associated with at least one of said protocol event objects.

2

1

2

18.

19.

24.

Attorney Docket No. FSTK 1004-1

database.

1

2

an inconsistency in said protocol.

associated with one of said workflow task objects.

A medium according to claim 16, wherein said disambiguation comment object is

A medium according to claim 10, wherein said operational uncertainty comprises

A medium according to claim 23, wherein said database is an object-oriented

-48-

1

2

1

2

1

2

- 25. A medium according to claim 23, wherein said database further includes workflow task objects.
- 26. A medium according to claim 23, wherein said amount of time describes the time that a hypothetical patient is expected to take between first and second identified ones of said protocol event objects.
  - 27. A medium according to claim 23, wherein said particular temporal constraint object identifies said amount of time by identifying at least one member of the group consisting of minimum and maximum times.
  - 28. A medium according to claim 27, wherein said particular temporal constraint object identifies said amount of time by identifying both members of the group consisting of minimum and maximum times.
  - 29. A medium according to claim 28, wherein said particular temporal constraint object identifies said amount of time further by identifying a base time between said minimum and maximum times.
  - 30. A medium according to claim 23, wherein said database further includes a disambiguation comment object associated with said particular temporal constraint object, said

relationship identified in said temporal constraint object.

3

4

1

2

35.

disambiguation comment object identifying an operational uncertainty in a particular temporal

A method according to claim 34, further comprising the step of displaying a

graphical-visual representation of said protocol, said graphical-visual representation including a

-50-

1	36. A method according to claim 34, wherein said predetermined model comprises a		
2	predetermined object class structure and said slots are organized into data objects defined by said		
3	object class structure.		
1	37. A method according to claim 36, wherein said data objects include protocol event		
2	objects describing protocol events, and temporal constraint objects describing temporal		
<b>□</b> 3	constraints among said protocol event objects, each of said temporal constraint objects including		
3	at least one slot for identifying an amount of time allowed between two or more protocol events		
1 1	38. A method according to claim 37, further comprising the steps of:		
<u>2</u>	instantiating a disambiguation data object defined according to said object class structure		
11 3	describing said operational uncertainty; and		
2 3 4	associating said disambiguation data object with a temporal constraint object which		
5	includes a slot for identifying said particular amount of time.		
1	39. A medium according to claim 34, wherein said operational uncertainty comprises		
2	an inconsistency in said particular amount of time as specified in said protocol.		

vagueness in said particular amount of time as specified in said protocol.

A medium according to claim 34, wherein said operational uncertainty comprises

-51-

human-perceptible indication that said particular amount of time has an operational uncertainty

3

4

1

2

40.

Attorney Docket No. FSTK 1004-1

associated therewith.

3

4

5

б

1

2

1

2

3

4

41.	A medium according to claim 34, wherein said operational uncertainty comprises
omission of sa	aid particular amount of time from said protocol.

- 42. A method for evaluating a clinical trial protocol, comprising the steps of:
  encoding workflow tasks of a clinical trial protocol into a database that includes data
  objects describing protocol events and relationships among protocol events;
  - identifying an operational uncertainty in said protocol during said step of encoding; encoding said uncertainty into said database; and

in dependence upon said database, outputting a report setting forth the operational uncertainties identified in said protocol and encoded into said database.

- 43. A method according to claim 42, further comprising the step of associating said particular data object with at least one of said data objects in said database.
- 44. A method according to claim 43, wherein said data objects include protocol event objects describing protocol events, and temporal constraint objects describing temporal constraints among said protocol event objects,

and wherein said step of associating comprises the step of associating said particular data object with one of said protocol event objects or one of said temporal constraint objects in said database.

2

- A method according to claim 42, wherein said database is an object-oriented 45. 1 database. 2
- A method according to claim 42, further comprising the step, prior to said step of 46. 1 outputting, of sorting a list of said operational uncertainties identified in said protocol and encoded into said database.
  - A method according to claim 42, wherein said step of outputting comprises the 47. step of outputting in tabular form the operational uncertainties identified in said protocol and encoded into said database.
    - A method according to claim 42, wherein said operational uncertainty comprises 48. an inconsistency in said protocol.
    - A method according to claim 42, wherein said operational uncertainty comprises 49. an insufficiently specified parameter in said protocol.
- A method according to claim 42, wherein said operational uncertainty comprises 50. an omitted parameter in said protocol. 2
  - A method according to claim 42, wherein said operational uncertainty concerns a 51. temporal constraint among at least two of said protocol events.